



1: University of Maryland School of Nursing; 2: George Washington University Milken Institute School of Public Health; 3: University of Maryland School of Medicine

Introduction

- Adherence to behavioral prevention intervention program is a prerequisite
- Many behavioral trials that reported poor participants attendance may result in less desired outcomes
- Inconsistent findings on factors associated with attendance with regard to age, education, gender, marital status, income, depressive symptoms and weight status (e.g. for weight gain prevention intervention)
- Intent-to-treat philosophy (ITT) estimates the intervention effect, but ignores attendance. In contrast, Complier Average Causal Effect (CACE) model accounts for compliance to interventions
- This study extended our previous study based on ITT that did not find an intervention effect at 6 month follow up and assessed whether there was a significant intervention effect on promotion of physical activity and reduction of BMI (mothers) or BMI zscore (toddlers) among mother-toddler dyads who complied to the intervention with CACE analyses

Methods

Study Design

- Toddler Obesity Prevention (TOPS) intervention, a randomized controlled trial among 277
- mothers (mean age=27.3 yrs) and their toddlers (mean age=20.1 months) in 2009-2013.
- Three groups:
 - \succ Tot-Tops (n=92): Responsive parenting intervention to provide healthy toddler meal and promote toddler physical activity (PA)
 - \succ Mom-Tops (n=94): Maternal lifestyle intervention focusing on maternal diet and PA
 - > Attention control group (n=91): Home safety intervention with no mention of diet or PA
- Each intervention included eight sessions (four group sessions, three individual telephone coaching sessions and a final group session) over 4 months, based on the Triple-P (Positive Parenting Program) model (Sanders, Kirby, Tellegen, & Day, 2014)

Measures

- Outcomes: (baseline, 6 months)
 - Maternal and toddler physical activity-- ankle accelerometry (Phillips Respironics, Inc.)
 - Maternal BMI; BMI-for-age z scores and percentiles for toddlers calculated based on WHO standards (WHO, 2006)
- Baseline characteristics:
 - Age; race/ethnicity (AA vs. other), marriage (Married vs. other), education (<HS graduate vs. >= HS graduate), income (<100% poverty level vs. higher), and toddler sex (M vs. F).
 - Depressive symptoms: Beck Depression Inventory (BDI); higher score indicating more symptoms
 - Parental BMI
- Compliers defined as >=5 sessions out of 8 (49.5% compliers in Mom-Tops, 54% in Tot-Tops)

Statistical analysis

- Baseline characteristics in relation to adherence within each intervention group
- Poisson Regression Models were used to estimate the rate ratios of attendance with regard to baseline predictors (Chen, Qian, Shi, & Franklin, 2018).
- Complier Average Causal Effect Model (latent change score combined with mixture modeling)

Compliance to a Behavioral Intervention Trial to Improve Physical Activities: Secondary Data Analysis

Shijun Zhu¹, PhD, Yan Wang², MD, DrPH, Erika Friedmann¹, PhD, Maureen Black³, PhD



Figure 1. Univariate CACE latent change score model

- Mean number of attendance is 3.8 (SD=3.1) in the Mom-Tops and 4.2 (SD=3.4) in the Tot-Tops
- Poverty was related to high attendance while being non-married, depressive symptoms and high parental BMI are associated with low attendance in Tot-Tops group only.
- Low education is associated with low attendance in both groups

Table 1. Rate ratio estima

Covariates

Maternal characteristics Age Poverty (< 100% poverty lev Lower than high school grad African American Not married Depressive symptoms Baseline BMI Toddler characteristics

Age

- CACE modeling extended ITT analysis by assessing the intervention efficacy. The intervention effects on MVPA among the participants who complied to the intervention suggest that compliance has played critical role in the success of the intervention programs
- Behavioral intervention programs may consider the integration of promotion of compliance, especially among the mothers with high depressive symptoms and high BMI.

- 2017)
- non-complier)

Note: In Fig. 1, "U" denotes the observed binary compliance in treatment groups. Y_0 indicates baseline outcome, and Y_6 indicates outcome at 6-month follow up. ΔY indicates latent change score. The compliers in control group were missing but estimated by a latent categorical variable (depicted as the dashed line circle). Covariates were included in the model to predict c(latent class membership) and Y_0 and Δ Y (omitted for visual clarity).

Results

					•					
ates of attendance among intervention groups					found in either Mom-Tops or Tot-Tops group					
	Mom-TOPS		Tot-TOPS					or iopo 3.	P	
	RR (95% CI)	p-value	RR (95% CI)	p-value		Table 2 Estimates of TOPS	S intervention effects f	rom baseline to 6	months by CACE m	odeling, n=277
						Effects	Mom-Tops vs. Control group		Tot-Tops vs. Control group	
	1.02(0.99, 1.04)	0.286	1.02(1.00, 1.05)	0.112			CACE	ITT	CACE	ITT
level)	1.24(0.81, 1.91)	0.335	1.50(1.01, 2.23)	0.044			Compliers		Compliers	
raduate	0.55(0.33, 0.91)	0.019	0.53(0.30, 0.95)	0.032			Est. (SE)	Est. (SE)	Est. (SE)	Est. (SE)
	0.88(0.57, 1.35)	0.548	0.83(0.58, 1.19)	0.316		Toddler MVPA, min/day	67.03 (18.25)***	9.96(10.1)	77.81 (19.73)***	18.67(9.96)
	1.14(0.70, 1.86)	0.596	0.59(0.42, 0.83)			Mother MVPA, min/day	11.36(4.89) *	2.38(4.56)	3.47 (4.34)	0.84(4.58)
	1.02(1.00, 1.03)	0.063	0.97(0.94, 1.00)			Toddler BMI, z score	0.27 (0.24)	0.15(0.13)	0.04 (0.19)	0.18(0.13)
						Mother BMI score	-0.29 (0.74)	-0.30(0.34)	-0.41(0.35)	-0.29(0.34)
	0.99(0.97, 1.01)	0.274	0.98(0.96, 1.00)	0.044		Note: *: p<.05; **: p<.01; ***: p<	<.001; Mom's age, marital st	atus, poverty level, ra	cial/ethnicity, education, ba	aseline depressive
	symptoms and toddler's age were included in models								-	
	1.03(1, 1.06)	0.078	1.00(0.97, 1.03)	0.988						· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

analyses

Discussion



 Latent change score CACE model based on data from baseline to 6 months (Kievit et al., 2018; Peugh et al.,

Mixture modeling estimated latent classes (complier vs.

• No intervention effect was assumed for the non-compliers Covariates were included for better classification

• Full information maximum likelihood (FIML) was used to account for missingness in the estimation of class membership for the control group

For MVPA, there was no intervention effect at 6 months in ITT analysis. However, there were significant intervention effects on promoting MVPA of both mothers and toddles in Mom-Tops group (vs. control), but the effect was significant only for toddlers, not for mothers in Tot-Tops group based on the CACE

No effects on RMI for mothers or RMI zscore for toddlers were

UNIVERSITY of MARYLAND School of Medicine